

ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಧಾನಸಭೆ

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Tuesday, 28th August 1973 Bhadrapada 6, 1895-S.E

The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Vidhana Soudha, at One of the Clock.

MADAM SPEAKER (Smt. K. S. Nagarathnamma) in the Chair.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

FACILITIES PROVIDED TO MULKI OFFICIALS OF ERSTWHILE HYDERABAD STATE

54. SRI PRABHAKAR TELKAR (Kalagi).—

14. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of Mulki officials allotted to this State from the erstwhile Hyderabad State due to re-organisation of States in 1956 ;

b) the facilities available to those officials in view of the recent supreme court judgement about the Mulki Rules ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS, CHIEF MINISTER :—(a) According to the provisions of S. R. Act, two types of officials were allotted to the new State, *viz.*, (1) persons working in posts belonging to the District or Division-wise cadres called Regional Allottees and (2) persons working in posts belonging to the State-wide cadres. In respect of the officials allotted under category (1) above information about the precise number allottees is not readily

(Sri. D. Devaraj Urs)

available and as regards category (), 344 Gazetted officers and 876 NGOs. have been allotted under the S. R. Act. All these officials can be presumed to be Mulki officials.

(b) Since Mulki rules were applicable for initial recruitment only, the question of any facilities being available to the allottees under the S. R. Act, does not arise.

SRI PRABHAKAR TELKAR:—In inviting attention to reply in (b), I wish to know what is the effect of that Supreme Court ruling over this and can any facilities be given to the Mulki persons there?

†SRI D. DEVARAJ URS:—The Supreme Court judgement has been examined carefully by the Law Department and the department has advised that the Mulki Rules, as were made applicable to erstwhile Hyderabad State, are not applicable to all the Districts that have come to us.

SRI PRABHAKAR TELKAR:—At this stage can they get any facilities in recruitment?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS:—As I stated, the Mulki Rules are not applicable. The question does not arise.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN:—Is it not a fact that prior to States Reorganisation and at the time of the States Reorganisation, it was the understanding that all the facilities and other conditions which were applicable to them would be made applicable even after those officials come over to the new State and hence according to the Mulki Rules the facilities that were available to them should also be accorded to them in the new State?

†Asterisks indicate that remarks or speeches have not been received by the member concerned.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—Let us make two distinctions here. One thing is the advantages or facilities, whatever they be, that are visualised under the 1956 States Reorganisation Act. One and above this, there is the Mulki Rules. And subsequent to the States Reorganisation Act there was what was called Public Employment Recruitment (as to Resident) Act of 1957—a Central Act. So, this is the Act under which the Mulki Rules application is governed. So, according to that Act Section 2 was interpreted subsequently by the Supreme Court—I mean Section 2 of the 1957 Act which I just now mentioned. The Supreme Court judgement has specifically mentioned that the Mulki Rules as they existed in the erstwhile Hyderabad State are applicable only to the person in Telengana region and not to the Districts that have come over to the new State of Mysore or Karnataka in accordance with 1956 Act of States Reorganisation.

SRI N. B. SIRDESAI.—May I know whether the Government is aware that Mulki rules are applied in Maharashtra State particularly to those areas which are called Marathwada areas?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—I am not aware of this

SRI D. B. KALMANKAR.—In view of the fact that Hyderabad Karnatak area is a backward area and since such arrangements are made in Marathwada and also Telengana, I want to know whether special facilities will be given to Hyderabad Karnatak area for its all round progress, as it is a backward area.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—It cannot be done. Backward people are there throughout the State.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—Are there any representations from any of those officials, who were governed previously by Mulki Rules, to the Governments now, in the light of the Supreme Court decision?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—The actual position is this. Many of those officers who came from erstwhile Hyderabad Districts have opted to the service Rules governing here. It appears that only one gentleman has applied for extending this concession and we have stated that it is not possible.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೋಣಂದೂರು ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ : ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಮಲೇನಾಡಿನ ಪ್ರದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ವಿಶೇಷವಾದ ಕಾನೂನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು : ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

ROAD WORKS IN NORTH KANARA DISTRICT

61. SMT. RADHA BHAT (Ankola).—

Will the Minister for Public Works and Electricity be pleased to state : —

(a) the number of years within which the Haldur-Achave Road works in Ankola Taluk of North Kanara District will be completed ;

(b) the number of years within which Hulekal Dorangere Road repair works in Sirsi Taluk of the said District will be completed :

(c) when the repair works of the road of Donagara-Halasagi *via* , Goli in Sirsi Taluk of the said District will be started ?

SRI H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—(Minister for Public Works and Electricity)

(a) It depends upon the financial position

(b) The road from Hulekal to Kakkalli is under the control of Public Works Department. The soling work from 13/0 to 20,0 km. on Hulekal-Songimade road is in progress.

(c) This is not under the control of Public Works Department.